

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/774,762 | 02/09/2004 | Trung T. Doan | MCRO:144-3 92-0321-04 | 6167 |
| 75 | 590 07/25/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| Michael G. Fletcher | | | NOVACEK, CHRISTY L | |
| Fletcher Yoder P.O. Box 69228 | 39 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Houston, TX 77269-2289 | | | 2822 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application Number | Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | | der | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 10/774,762 | DOAN ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Zandra V. Smith | 2877 | | | | |
| Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC | | | | | | |
| Notice of Panel De | cision from Pre-A | ppeal Brief R | Review | | | |
| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Bri | ef Request for Review filed 06/ | <u>26/2006</u> . | | | | |
| Improper Request – The Req reason(s): | uest is improper and a confere | nce will not be held for t | the following | | | |
| ☐ The request does not include | ot been filed concurrent with the e reasons why a review is appro ncluded with the Pre-Appeal Bri | opriate. | uest. | | | |
| The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Proceed to Board of Patent A held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month frunning from the receipt of the notice appeal brief is extendible under 37 C of the notice of appeal, as applicable | appeal because there is at least in accordance with 37 CFR 41 om mailing this decision, or the e of appeal, whichever is greate CFR 1.136 based upon the mail | st one actual issue for a .37. The time period for balance of the two-mo er. Further, the time per | appeal. Applicant filing an appeal nth time period iod for filing of the | | | |
| ☑ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 19-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideral | the status of the claim(s) is as f | follows: | | | | |
| 3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | | | |
| 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A con action will be mailed. No further act | | | a new Office | | | |
| All participants: | | | | | | |
| (1) Zandra V. Smith. | (3) <u>Tom Th</u> | omas. T. T | | | | |
| (2) <u>Christy Novacek</u> . | (4) | | | | | |